

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Patent of: FRESE, II, Vincent et al.

U.S. Patent No.: RE38,598

Reissue Date: September 21, 2004

**Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ON DEMAND DOWNLOADING OF MODULE
TO ENABLE REMOTE CONTROL OF AN APPLICATION PROGRAM OVER
A NETWORK**

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR §1.27 AND §1.28

**Commissioner for Patents
Mail Stop PETITION
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**July 22, 2011
Atlanta, GA 30308-2216**

Dear Honorable Sir:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.27(g)(2) and MPEP § 509.03, the current owner of the above-referenced case hereby notifies the United States Patent and Trademark Office that this patent ("the asset") does not qualify for small entity status. The asset was licensed to a corporation that does not qualify as a small entity, i.e., it is a large entity. Accordingly, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.27(g)(2), it is respectfully requested that small entity status for the asset be withdrawn.

Accordingly, the owner submits payment of the deficiency of fees paid to date. The chart shown below itemizes the total deficiency payment required in this case. Per 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c)(2)(ii), Applicant itemizes: (A) each particular type of fee that was erroneously paid as a small entity along with the current fee amount for a non-small entity; (B) the small entity fee actually paid and when that fee was paid; (C) the deficiency owed for each fee; and (D) the total deficiency payment owed. Applicant respectfully submits that the below chart meets the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c).

I certify that this correspondence is being E-Filed with the USPTO's EFS-Web Filing System to:
Mail Stop PETITION, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on **22 July 2011**.

/Candice P. Dysart/
Candice P. Dysart

Particular Type of Fee Erroneously Paid as a Small Entity, Per § 1.28(c)(2)(ii)(A)	Current Fee Amount for a Non-Small Entity (\$), Per § 1.28(c)(2)(ii)(A)	Small Entity Fee Actually Paid (\$), Per § 1.28(c)(2)(ii)(B)	Date Small Entity Fee was Actually Paid, Per § 1.28(c)(2)(ii)(B)	Deficiency Amount for Particular Type of Fee (\$), Per § 1.28(c)(2)(ii)(C)
11.5 Maintenance Fee	\$4110	\$2055	11/29/10	\$2055

The recent maintenance fee payment should have been paid as a large entity. Accordingly, the owner submits payment of the deficiency of fees paid.

The total deficiency payment owed is **\$2055** and is provided as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c)(2)(ii)(C). Payment of the deficiency of fees totaling \$2055 is paid to the USPTO prior to the surcharge date of December 2, 2010. The correct amount \$4110 for the maintenance fee has been timely paid to the USPTO.

Commissioner is authorized to charge the above listed deficiency, as well as any other deficiency in fees under large entity status to PTO Deposit Account No. 20-1507. Further, the Commissioner is authorized to refund any overcharge of fees to PTO Deposit Account No. 20-1507.

Respectfully submitted,

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

James E. Schutz, Reg. #48658/

James E. Schutz

Reg. No. 48,658

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

Bank of America Plaza

600 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 5200

Atlanta, Georgia 30308-2216

P: 404.885.3498

F: 404.962.6676